

# A new approach

# to identify the limiting processes

# at electrochemical interfaces

### Anja Bieberle-Hütter

Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research (DIFFER), Eindhoven







### **2 Departments: Solar Fuel and Fusion**

### Solar fuel program lines:

- I. Non-thermal chemical processes
- II. Functional materials and interfaces
- III. Light-matter interaction



Novel non-thermal routes to improve kinetics and selectivity of key catalytic processes

### **Presentation by Waldo Bongers, this afternoon**



### **2 Departments: Solar Fuel and Fusion**

### Solar fuel program lines:

- I. Non-thermal chemical processes
- **II. Functional materials and interfaces**
- III. Light-matter interaction

Understanding the **structure-property** relations of **functional materials** and the **processes** occuring at the **electrode-electrolyte interface** 

Photo-electrochemical cell with new electrodes



T. Stoll et al., Int. J. Hydrogen Energy (2016).

# DIFFER – Science for Future Energy

### **2 Departments: Solar Fuel and Fusion**

### Solar fuel program lines:

- I. Non-thermal chemical processes
- II. Functional materials and interfaces
- **III.Light-matter interaction**



Improve chemical processes by exploring nanostructured functional materials to enhance light capture and absorption and charge transport

Plasmon enhanced catalysis on metal nanoparticles







# **Electrochemical Interfaces**

### Water splitting



#### Water splitting



Semiconductor Electrolyte Meta

#### **Fuel Cells**



Electrolyser

**Batteries** 

### Increase in performance is required!

# Photoelectrodes for Water Splitting



### Dynamic and complex electrochemical interface.

# Main Research Questions







# Case Study: Photoanode Material Hematite (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)





- Suitable bandgap of 2.1 eV
- Abundance
- Non toxic
- Stable
- Low cost

- Short diffusion length
- High e-hole recombination rate

Fe<sub>2</sub>O

FTO

Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

FTO

**Sluggish kinetics** 



9

# Electrochemical Properties of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>







# Case Study: Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Fabricate tailored photoelectrodes with advanced chemistry and architecture



# Electrochemical Model







Rossmeisl and Nørskov, J. Electroanal. Chem. (2007).

Zhang and ABH, ChemSusChem (2016).

#### **Reactions steps**

$$ad + OH^{-} + h \stackrel{k_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} OH_{ad}$$
(1)  

$$OH_{ad} + OH^{-} + h \stackrel{k_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow} O_{ad} + H_{2}O$$
(2)  

$$O_{ad} + OH^{-} + h \stackrel{k_{3}}{\longleftrightarrow} OOH_{ad}$$
(3)  

$$OOH_{ad} + OH^{-} + h \stackrel{k_{4}}{\longleftrightarrow} O_{2ad} + H_{2}O$$
(4)  

$$O_{2ak} \stackrel{k_{5}}{\longleftrightarrow} O_{2dl} + ad$$
(5)  

$$O_{2ak} \stackrel{k_{6}}{\longleftrightarrow} O_{2aq}$$
(6)

Reaction rate $\overline{k_{f\iota}} = k_{v,max} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(E_v - E_{F,redox,i}^0 - \lambda\right)^2}{4k_BT\lambda}\right],$  $\overline{k_{b\iota}} = k_{v,max} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(E_v - E_{F,redox,i}^0 + \lambda\right)^2}{4k_BT\lambda}\right],$  $E^{0}_{F,redox,i}$ : redox potential $\lambda$ : solvent reorganization energy $\Delta G_i = nFE_{F,redox,i}^0$ 

Calculation of free energy steps by Density Functional Theory (DFT)



Rossmeisl and Nørskov, J. Electroanal. Chem. (2007).

George, ABH et al. (2018) submitted.

# Oxygen Vacancies reduce Overpotential of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>





Oxygen vacancy per surface area (1/nm<sup>2</sup>)

#### Oxygen vacancies are very effective in reducing overpotential.

Zhang, ABH et al., J. Phys. Chem. C (2016).

# **Electrochemical Model**



### **Reactions steps**

$$ad + OH^{-} + h^{+} \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_{1}}{\leftrightarrow}} OH_{ad} \qquad (1)$$

$$OH_{ad} + OH^{-} + h^{+} \underset{k_{-2}}{\overset{k_{2}}{\leftrightarrow}} O_{ad} + H_{2}O \qquad (2)$$

$$O_{ad} + OH^{-} + h^{+} \underset{k_{-3}}{\overset{k_{3}}{\leftrightarrow}} OOH_{ad} \qquad (3)$$

$$OOH_{ad} + OH^{-} + h^{+} \underset{k_{-4}}{\overset{k_{4}}{\leftrightarrow}} O_{2ad} + H_{2}O \qquad (4)$$

$$O_{2ad} \underset{k_{-5}}{\overset{k_{5}}{\leftrightarrow}} O_{2dl} + ad \qquad (5)$$

$$O_{2dl} \underset{k_{-6}}{\overset{k_{6}}{\leftrightarrow}} O_{2aq} \qquad (6)$$

#### **Reaction rate**

$$\overline{k_{f\iota}} = k_{\nu,max} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(E_{\nu} - E_{F,redox,i}^{0} - \lambda\right)^{2}}{4k_{B}T\lambda}\right],$$
$$\overline{k_{b\iota}} = k_{\nu,max} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(E_{\nu} - E_{F,redox,i}^{0} + \lambda\right)^{2}}{4k_{B}T\lambda}\right],$$

George, van Berkel, Zhang, ABH, submitted (2018).

#### Formulation of adsorption equation

$$\frac{d\theta_{OH}}{dt} = K_1[x_{OH^-}]\theta - K_1\theta_{OH} - K_2\theta_{OH}[x_{OH^-}] + K_2\theta_0[x_{H_2O}]$$
(9)

$$\frac{d\theta_{O}}{dt} = K_{2}\theta_{OH}[x_{OH^{-}}] - K_{2}\theta_{O}[x_{H_{2}O}] - K_{3}\theta_{O}[x_{OH^{-}}] + K_{3}\theta_{OOH}$$
(10)

$$\frac{d\theta_{OOH}}{dt} = K_3 \theta_0 [x_{OH^-}] - K_{_3} \theta_{OOH} - K_4 \theta_{OOH} [x_{OH^-}] + K_{_4} \theta_{O_2} [x_{H_2O}]$$
(11)

$$\frac{d\theta_{O_2}}{dt} = K_4 \theta_{OOH} - K_{4} \theta_{O_2} - K_5 \theta_{O_2} + K_{5} \theta_{O_2} dl$$
(12)

$$\frac{dx_{O_2dl}}{dt} = K_5 \theta_{O_2} - K_{5.0} \cdot x_{O_2dl} - K_6 x_{O_2dl} + K_{6.0} \cdot x_{O_2aql}$$
(13)

$$\theta = 1 - \theta_{OH} - \theta_O - \theta_{OOH} - \theta_{O2} \tag{14}$$

### Formulation of charge balance

$$j_{f} = (K_{1}[x_{OH^{-}}]\theta + K_{2}\theta_{OH}[x_{OH^{-}}] + K_{3}\theta_{O}[x_{OH^{-}}] + K_{4}\theta_{OOH}[x_{OH^{-}}]).qe.N_{0}$$
(15)  

$$j_{b} = (K_{-1}\theta_{OH} + K_{-2}\theta_{O}[x_{H_{2}O}] + K_{-3}\theta_{OOH} + K_{-4}\theta_{O_{2}}[x_{H_{2}O}]).qe.N_{0}$$
(16)  

$$j_{Total} = j_{f} - j_{b}$$
(17)



 $\rightarrow$  Linearization  $\rightarrow$  Laplace transform  $\rightarrow$  Impedance calculation

George, van Berkel, Zhang, ABH, submitted (2018).

### State-space modeling code set-up for water splitting.





**Current-Voltage Plot** 



George, van Berkel, Zhang, ABH, submitted (2018).

Zandi and Hamann, Nat. Chem. (2016). 17

**Surface Coverage Plot** 

# Simulated Impedance Data



#### - V= 1.50 **Electrochemical Impedance Spectra** - V= 1.55 V= 1.70 V= 1.60 V= 1.75 – V= 1.65 - V= 1.80 2×10<sup>5</sup> $\times 10^5$ $ω_{\min}$ =1 mHz 800 $\mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{sim}}$ u=1.5 V $R_s$ 2 400 <u>∽</u>ww– $-Z^{"}_{eq}(\Omega.cm^2)$ $\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{bulk}}$ -Z<sup>"</sup><sub>sim</sub>[Ω.cm<sup>2</sup>] \_\_\_\_ 400 800 1200 0.8 V<sub>RHE</sub> . 1 V<sub>RHE</sub> 1.2 V<sub>RHE</sub> 1.5 V<sub>RHE</sub> (GX) \_\_\_\_\_2 2 0 2 3 $Z'_{eq}(\Omega.cm^2)$ $imes 10^5$ $\omega_{\rm c}$ 0⊾ 2 3 Ζ' (kΩ) 2 5 0 6

George, van Berkel, Zhang, ABH, submitted (2018).

# Case Study: Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Next: do parameter variations and include more processes in the modeling

















# Conclusion and Outlook

 Electrochemical interfaces are the key to improve performance of electro-chemical energy applications.

• (Multi-scale) modeling is required to tackle this challenge.

 We can simulate electrochemical data that can be directly compared to electrochemical measurements.





### Thank you



- E. Zouthout
- H. Genuit

